

Timony, Meridith (Decelle)

From: Clark, Amy <Amy.Clark@des.nh.gov>
Sent: Wednesday, December 03, 2014 10:59 AM
To: Timony, Meridith (Decelle)
Subject: FW: review of Nashua RTCs

Meri, Here are our RTC comments to you from back in September. It looks like you addresses some of these but not all of them (see highlighted yellow). I made comments on the permit as well, not sure if you had a chance to look them over.

Let me know if there have been any significant changes since the last time we reviewed it.

Thanks!

~Amy

From: Timony, Meridith (Decelle) [mailto:Timony.Meridith@epa.gov]
Sent: Thursday, September 25, 2014 12:43 PM
To: Clark, Amy
Subject: RE: review of Nashua RTCs

Hi Amy,

Thanks for this summary of the changes. I just checked and the public comment period did in fact end on November 18, 2013, we gave them an extension mid-way through the original comment period,

<http://www.epa.gov/region1/npdes/permits/draft/2013/draftnh0100170pnnext.pdf>

Our rationale for not giving them a mass based limit is because they discharge close to their design flow.

I'll get you the final versions of the RTCs and permit as soon as possible.

Thanks,

Meri

From: Clark, Amy [mailto: Amy.Clark@des.nh.gov]
Sent: Wednesday, September 24, 2014 3:12 PM
To: Timony, Meridith (Decelle)
Subject: RE: review of Nashua RTCs
Importance: High

Meridith,

Just to summarize the items we talked about on the phone with respect to the draft RTC:

Page 1 – the PN period ended on Nov 20

Page 1 – add the following to the list of changes: P limit was changed to 0.8, requirement to notify for a CSO discharge removed, and that 12 months was given to the permittee to updated the NMC (see response C17).

Page 22 – delete item (g), requesting immediate notification of a CSO discharge, altogether (see response C20)

Page 31 – Manchester is now on PN, update response (see response to Opening Comment)

And in the permit:

Page 3 – P limit was recalculated to 0.8

Page 6 & 11 – I suggest rewording item 4 (no snow melt when greater than 32?... what other time of year does snow melt?). I think I know what you are trying to say, something like: Dry weather... when not more than 0.1" of rainfall has occurred in the past 24 hours and when snow melt is not significantly contributing to the collection system.

Page 6 – add paragraph numbers back in.

Page 11 – delete 1.B.2.g.

Also, I don't recall why we didn't develop a mass limit for Phosphorus? Do you recall?

Lastly, we can certify the permit after seeing the final RTC. If you can just highlight the changes since the draft you gave me that would be helpful. In order to certify by Sept 30th, I will need that by tomorrow. Friday morning might work but I usually need at least 24 hours to track down people for signatures.

I will be working at home tomorrow. If you need me, give me a call on my cell or touch base via email.

Thanks,

~Amy

Amy Clark, PE

Civil Engineer | Wastewater Engineering Bureau

NH Department of Environmental Services

PO Box 95 | 29 Hazen Drive | Concord, NH 03302

Tel (603) 271 – 0671

From: Timony, Meridith (Decelle) [<mailto:Timony.Meridith@epa.gov>]

Sent: Monday, September 15, 2014 8:31 AM

To: Clark, Amy

Subject: review of Nashua RTCs

Hi Amy,

We are still ironing out some of the language in the response to comments document, so it is still a "work in progress", but we thought it might make sense to send the RTCs and the final permit (again, the changes and edits have not been entirely "cleaned up" yet, but you will see what they are going to be) over to you to look at, given that September 30 is around the corner!

Give me a call if you have any questions!

Meri